



Effu

PTO/SB/21 (05-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/716,006
	Filing Date	11-18-2003
	First Named Inventor	Mehregany, et al.
	Art Unit	1775
	Examiner Name	Archene A. Turner
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	29438/04001

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Group
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Response to Restriction Requirement Return Receipt Postcard	

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Warren M. Haines II (Reg. No. 40,632)
Signature	<i>[Signature]</i>
Date	10/3/05
Customer Number	24024

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.	
Typed or printed name	Joyce Ford
Signature	<i>[Signature]</i>
Date	10-3-05

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this _____ day of _____, 2005.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT

Appl. No. : 10/716,006
Applicant : Mehran Mehregany, et al.
Filed : 11/18/2003
Title : **SILICON CARBIDE AND OTHER FILMS AND METHOD OF DEPOSITION**
Art Unit : 1775
Examiner : Archene A Turner
Docket No. : 29438-04001
Customer No. : 24024

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated September 14, 2005, Applicants elect to pursue in this application claims 1–42 and 50–53, identified as Invention I, with traverse.

The Office Action asserts that there are three inventions in the present application:

- I. claims 1–42 and 50–53, drawn to a method;
- II. claims 43–46, drawn to a coated substrate; and
- III. claims 47–49, drawn to a device.

Appl. No. 10/716,006

Resp. Dated October 3, 2005

Reply to Office Action of September 14, 2005


The Office Action asserts that Inventions II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship, but are distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. The Office Action asserts that Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made, but are distinct because the product can be made by another and materially different method.

However, "[t]here are **two** criteria for a proper requirement for a restriction between patentably distinct inventions (A) The inventions must be independent; and **(B) There must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is required.**" MPEP § 803, citations omitted, emphasis added. Thus there are *two* requirements for restriction: independence or distinctness *and* a serious burden. Both are required. Section 803 states that "[i]f the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions." Applicants respectfully submit that restriction is not proper in this case because search and examination can be made of the entire application without serious burden. Thus, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of and withdrawal of the restriction requirement.

It is believed that there is no fee associated with the filing and consideration of this response. **Should the Commissioner decide that any fee is due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any and all fees incurred as a result of this response to deposit account number 03-0172.**

Date: 10/3/05

Respectfully submitted,



Warren M. Haines II (Reg. No. 40,632)
Customer No. 24024